

## Oral Presentation Rubric

Category	Poor (0 - 18)	Minimally acceptable (19 - 21)	Satisfactory (22-24)	Very Good (25-27)	Excellent (28-30)
<b>Method</b>	Missing or not measuring the variables in H/RQ	Method clearly measures variables in H/RQ; misses some major potential sources of bias (i.e., sampling, timing, leading questions etc.); not an appropriate sample	Method clearly measures variables in H/RQ; operationalizes variables; pays attention to some potential sources of bias (i.e., sampling, timing, leading questions etc.); mostly appropriate sample	Method clearly measures variables in H/RQ; operationalizes variables; pays attention to most potential sources of bias (i.e., sampling, timing, leading questions etc.); appropriate sample	Method clearly measures variables in H/RQ; operationalized variables; pays attention to potential sources of bias (i.e., sampling, timing, leading questions etc.); appropriate sample
<b>Results and Discussion (including limitations and implications)</b>	Discussion section is missing, overstates the inferences, missing limitations and/or implications	Discussion somewhat draws conclusions from results; weak/no ties of results back to literature; weak or no delineation of limitations; weak or no or implications for future research	Clear discussion that draws conclusions from results; weak tying results back to literature; some delineation of limitations; weak or no or implications for future research	Clear discussion that draws conclusions from results without overstating the findings; somewhat ties results back to literature; some delineation of limitations; one or two implications for future research	Clear discussion that draws conclusions from results without overstating the findings; ties results back to literature; clear delineation of limitations; one or two implications for future research
	<b>Poor (0 - 13)</b>	<b>Minimally acceptable (14)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (15)</b>	<b>Very Good (16-17)</b>	<b>Excellent (18-20)</b>
<b>Literature Review</b>	One or both are missing: Highlights of literature are conveyed, H/RQ are clearly based on the literature	Both are mentioned: Highlights of literature are conveyed, H/RQ are clearly based on the literature	Both are included but may not be clear: Highlights of literature are conveyed, H/RQ are clearly based on the literature	Both are included and clear: Highlights of literature are conveyed, H/RQ are clearly based on the literature	Both are included and are clear and strong: Highlights of literature are conveyed, H/RQ are clearly based on the literature

<b>Organization</b>	Two or more are not present: Argument is easily followed, methods follow from the argument, results clearly linked from hypothesis/research question	Two are present: Argument is easily followed, methods follow from the argument, results clearly linked from hypothesis/research question	Two are present and strong: Argument is easily followed, methods follow from the argument, results clearly linked from hypothesis/research question	All three are present: Argument is easily followed, methods follow from the argument, results clearly linked from hypothesis/research question	All three are strong: Argument is easily followed, methods follow from the argument, results clearly linked from hypothesis/research question
<b>Presentation:</b>	Many are not present or are problematic (interfere with understanding): Articulate; good eye contact, few dysfluencies (“uh”), appropriate energy levels, good gestures and facial expressions (as possible given channel); Time 5-7 minutes	Some are present: Articulate; good eye contact, few dysfluencies (“uh”), appropriate energy levels, good gestures and facial expressions (as possible given channel): Time 5-7 minutes	Most are present: Articulate; good eye contact, few dysfluencies (“uh”), appropriate energy levels, good gestures and facial expressions (as possible given channel): Time 5-7 minutes	All are present: Articulate; good eye contact, few dysfluencies (“uh”), appropriate energy levels, good gestures and facial expressions (as possible given channel): Time 5-7 minutes	All are strong: Articulate; good eye contact, few dysfluencies (“uh”), appropriate energy levels, good gestures and facial expressions (as possible given channel): Time 5-7 minutes
	<b>Poor (6)</b>	<b>Minimally acceptable (7)</b>	<b>Satisfactory (8)</b>	<b>Very Good (9)</b>	<b>Excellent (10)</b>
<b>Hypothesis or Research Question</b>	Missing hypothesis or research question -	Acceptably stated H/RQ: not a declarative sentence or simple question; not clear what tests will be needed; variables are unclear and/or not clearly measurable	Acceptably stated H/RQ: declarative sentence or simple question; not clear what tests will be needed; variables are unclear and/or not clearly measurable	Somewhat clearly stated H/RQ: declarative sentence or simple question; not clear if it requires one test to support or not support; variables are clear but not clearly measurable	Clearly stated H/RQ: declarative sentence or simple question; requires one test to support or not support; variables are clear and measurable